UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,316	08/10/2006	Monica Macha	4255	5995
27727 DEDERSEN &	7590 12/12/2007		EXAMINER	
PEDERSEN & COMPANY, PLLC P.O. BOX 2666			PUROL, DAVID M	
BOISE, ID 837	701		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/589,316	MACHA, MONICA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David M. Purol	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 A						
,	,					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under a	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) ⊠ ob drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08102006</u> .	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application					

10/589,316 Art Unit: 3634

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 120.

The drawings are further objected to for the reference numerals 23,24 have been used interchangeably throughout the specification and in the drawing figures.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claims 7,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims refer to a "wrong side" which is indefinite in that that which is considered "wrong" is subject to personal interpretation.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

10/589,316 Art Unit: 3634

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,7,10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Constance. Constance discloses a drape comprising first, second, liner fabrics 18,10,16,8,6 which are capable of being reversed if so desired.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constance in view of Nichols. While Constance does not disclose the drape as having first and second headings, Nichols discloses a drape having first and second headings 18, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the drape of Constance for the purpose of facilitating the mounting of the drape in different positions would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

5. Claims 8,9,12,16,17,21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constance in view of Mole. While Constance does not disclose the use of an opening, Mole discloses a drape 10,12,13 using a fastening arrangement 14 which provides for an opening, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the drape of Constance for the purpose of accessing the fabrics would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number:

10/589,316

Art Unit: 3634

Page 4

6. Claims 13-15,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constance in view of Mole as applied to claims 8,9,12,16,17,21 above, and further in view of Nichols. While Constance does not disclose the drape as having first and second headings, Nichols discloses a drape having first and second headings 18, wherein, to incorporate this teaching into the drape of Constance, as modified by Mole, for the purpose of facilitating the mounting of the drape in different positions would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

- 7. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constance. Regarding the methodology of using the drape, inasmuch as Constance discloses the claimed structure of the drape the recited steps yield nothing more than a predictable result to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.
- 8. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Rosenberg, Collester, Dubinsky, Stern, Sachs, Heimberg, Ryan, Isoda, Leonard, Stewart.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to David M. Purol whose telephone number is (571) 272-6833.

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner, can be reached at (571) 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Purol Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

DMP (571) 272-6833 December 6, 2007